

EVALUATION OF THE RED JACKET DIAPHRAGM LEAK DETECTOR FOR HOURLY MONITORING

EPA EVALUATION FORMS

PREPARED FOR MARLEY PUMP COMPANY

DECEMBER 21, 1990



KEN WILCOX ASSOCIATES - 1312 SW 21ST ST. BLUE SPRINGS, MO 64015 - (816) 229-0860

EVALUATION OF THE RED JACKET DIAPHRAGM LEAK DETECTOR FOR MONTHLY HOURLY MONITORING

EPA EVALUATION FORMS

PREPARED FOR MARLEY PUMP COMPANY 5800 FOXRIDGE DRIVE MISSION, KANSAS 66202

Preface

The data contained in this report were obtained from the Red Jacket Diaphragm Leak Detector when operated as a Monthly Monitor. The test results are based on data collected using the EPA protocol "Standard Test Procedures for Evaluating Leak Detection Methods: Pipeline Leak Detection Systems", EPA/530/UST-90/010. The work was conducted at the Leak Detection Test Center which is operated by Ken Wilcox Associates, Inc. Questions should be directed to Mr. Klaus Jarr, Marley Pump Company, at (913) 831-5700.

KEN WILCOX ASSOCIATES, INC.

H. Kendall Wilcox President

December 21, 1991

Results of the Performance Evaluation Conducted According to EPA Test Procedures

Pipeline Leak Detection System Used as an Hourly Monitoring Test

This form summarizes the results of an evaluation to determine whether the pipeline leak detection system named below and described in Attachment 1 complies with federal regulations for conducting an hourly monitoring test. The evaluation was conducted according to the United States Environmental Protection Agency's (EPA's) evaluation procedure, specified in Standard Test Procedures for Evaluating Leak Detection Methods: Pipeline Leak Detection Systems. The full evaluation report includes seven attachments.

Tank system owners who use this pipeline leak detection system should keep this form on file to show compliance with the federal regulations. Tank system owners should check with state and local agencies to make sure this form satisfies the requirements of these agencies.

Sys	stem Evaluated
Sys	stem Name: Red Jacket Diaphragm Leak Detector
Ver	rsion of System:
Mai	nufacturer Name: The Marley Pump Company
	5800 Foxridge Drive
(stre	eet address) Mission, Kansas 66202
(city	y, state, zip code) (913) 831-5700
(tele	ephone number)
Ev	aluation Results
1.	The performance of this system (X) meets or exceeds () does not meet the federal standards established by the EPA regulation for hourly monitoring tests.
	The EPA regulation for an hourly monitoring test requires that the system be capable of detecting a leak as small as 3 gal/h with a probability of detection (P_D) of 95% and a probability of false alarm (P_{FA}) of 5%.
2.	The estimated P_{FA} in this evaluation is 0 % and the estimated P_D against a leak rate of 3 gal/h defined at a pipeline pressure of 10 psi in this evaluation is 100 %.

Cr	iterion for Declaring a Leak
3.	This system (X) uses a preset threshold () measures and reports the output quantity and compares it to a predetermined threshold to determine whether the pipeline is leaking.
4.	This system (X) uses a single test () uses a multiple-test sequence consisting of tests (specify number of tests required) separated by hours (specify the time interval between tests) to determine whether the pipeline is leaking.
5.	This system declares a leak if the output of the measurement system exceeds a threshold of 2 (specify flow rate in gal/h) in 1 out of 1 tests (specify, for example, 1 out of 2, 2 out of 3). If more detail is required, please specify in the space provided.
Ev	aluation Approach
6.	There are five options for collecting the data used in evaluating the performance of this system. This system was evaluated
	 (X) at a special test facility (Option 1) () at one or more instrumented operational storage tank facilities (Option 2) () at five or more operational storage tank facilities verified to be tight (Option 3) () at 10 or more operational storage tank facilities (Option 4) () with an experimentally validated computer simulation (Option 5)
7.	A total of 53 tests were conducted on nonleaking tank(s) between $11/27/90$ (date) and $12/9/90$ (date). A description of the pipeline configuration used in the evaluation is summarized in Attachment 3.
Ans	wer questions 8 and 9 if Option 1, 2, or 5 was used.
8.	The pipeline used in the evaluation was 3 in. in diameter, 176 ft long and constructed of fiberglass (fiberglass, steel, or other).
9.	A mechanical line leak detector (X) was () was not present in the pipeline system.
Ans	wer questions 10 and 11 if Option 3 or 4 was used.
10.	The evaluation was conducted on (how many) pipeline systems ranging in diameter from in. to in., ranging in length from ft to ft, and constructed of (specify materials).

11.	() was () was not
	present in the majority of the pipeline systems used in the evaluation.
	Please specify how much time elapsed between the delivery of product and the start of the data collection: (X) 0 to 6 h (time after completition of circulation and start of test) () 6 to 12 h () 12 to 24 h () 24 h or more

Temperature Conditions

This system was evaluated under the range of temperature conditions specified in Table 1. The difference between the temperature of the product circulated through the pipeline for 1 h or more and the average temperature of the backfill and soil between 2 and 12 in. from the pipeline is summarized in Table 1. If Option 1, 2 or 5 was used, a more detailed summary of the product temperature conditions generated for the evaluation is presented in Attachment 4. If Option 3 or 4 was used, no artificial temperature conditions were generated.

Table 1. Summary of Temperature Conditions Used in the Evaluation

Minimum Number of Conditions Required	Number of Conditions Used*	Range of ΔT(F)**
1	2	ΔT < -25
4	8	-25 ≤ ΔT < -15
5	10	-15 <u><</u> ΔT < -5
5	13	-5 ≤ ΔT < +5
5	10	+5 <u><</u> ΔT < +15
4	8	+15 <u><</u> ΔT < +25
1	2	ΔT > 25

^{*}This column should be filled out only if Option 1, 2, or 5 was used.

Data Used to Make Performance Estimates

13.	The induced leak rate and the test	results used to estimate the performance of this system
		Were any test runs removed from the data set?

(X)	no (
()	yes

If yes, please specify the reason and include with Attachment 5. (If more than one test was removed, specify each reason separately.)

^{**} ΔT is the difference between the temperature of the product dispensed through the pipeline for over an hour prior to the conduct of a test and the average temperature of the backfill and soil surrounding the pipe.

Sensitivity to Trapped Vapor

- 14. (X) According to the vendor, this system can be used even if trapped vapor is present in the pipeline during a test.¹
 - () According to the vendor, this system should not be used if trapped vapor is present in the pipeline.
- 15. The sensitivity of this system to trapped vapor is indicated by the test results summarized in Table 2. These tests were conducted at 8-12 psi with 110 ml of vapor trapped in the line at a pressure of 0 psi. The data and test conditions are reported in Attachment 6.

Table 2. Summary of the Results of Trapped Vapor Tests

Test No.	ΔT (F)	Induced Leak Rate (gal/h @ 10 psi)	Measured Leak Rate (gal/h)
1	+5	0	tight
2	+5	3 .	leak
3	+5	0	tight

Performance Characteristics of the Instrumentation

16.	State below the p	erformance characteristics of the primary measurement system used	to
	collect the data.	(Please specify the units, for example, gallons, inches.)	

Quantity Measured: gallons per hour			
Resolution: N/A	•		
Precision: N/A			
Accuracy: N/A			
Minimum Detectable Quantity: N/A			
Response Time: 2-6 seconds, depending on temperature			
Threshold is exceeded when the flow rate due to a leak exceeds	2	gal/h.	

Application of the System

- 17. This leak detection system is intended to test pipeline systems that are associated with underground storage tank facilities, that contain petroleum or other chemical products, that are typically constructed of fiberglass or steel, and that typically measure 2 or 3 in. in diameter and 150 ft or less in length. The performance estimates are valid when:
 - the system that was evaluated has not been substantially changed by subsequent modifications
 - the manufacturer's instructions for using the system are followed
 - · the mechanical line leak detector
 - () is present in
 - (X) has been removed from

the pipeline (check both if appropriate)

¹ The PPM 4000 will alert the operator when trapped vapor is present.

very of product to the underground storage tank test is0 h
ensing of product through the pipeline system test is h
est is 1 to 15 min (depending on temperature)
line is less than twice the volume of the product aluation, unless separate written justification for ented by the manufacturer, concurred with by luation as Attachment 8.
ified by the vendor or determined during the
he issue of the system's ability to detect leaks in ty hazards or assess the operational equipment.
nted
the System Evaluated
f the Pipeline System(s) Used in the Evaluation
Temperature Conditions Used in the Evaluation
Results and the Leak Rates Used in the Evaluation
t Results and the Trapped Vapor Tests
Results Used to Check the Relationship Supplieding the Signal and Noise
vas operated according to the vendor's as performed according to the procedure ed above are those obtained during the
Ken Wilcox Associates
(organization performing evaluation)
1312 S. 21st St.
(street address)
Blue Springs, Missouri 64015 (city, state, zip)
(Cory, Same, Eap)
-

Description

Pipeline Leak Detection System

This form provides supporting information on the operating principles of the leak detection system or on how the equipment works. This form is to be filled out by the evaluating organization with assistance from the manufacturer before the start of the evaluation.

Describe the important features of the system as indicated below. A detailed description is not required, nor is it necessary to reveal proprietary features of the system.

To minimize the time required to complete this form, the most frequently expected answers to the questions have been provided. For those answers that are dependent on site conditions, please give answers that apply in "typical" conditions. Please write in any additional information about the system that you believe is important.

Check all appropriate boxes for each question. Check more than one box per question if it applies. If 'Other' is checked, please complete the space provided to specify or briefly describe the matter. If necessary, use all the white space next to a question to complete a description.

Syst	em Name and Version	Red Jacket Diaphragm Leak Detectors - Hourly Monitoring
•	December 21, 1990	
App	licability of the Systen	
1.	With what products can this	system be used? (Check all applicable responses.)
(((X) gasoline (X) diesel (X) aviation fuel () fuel oil #4 () fuel oil #6 (X) solvent (as specified by () waste oil () other (specify)	•
2.	What types of pinelines can	be tested? (Check all applicable responses.)
((X) fiberglass (X) steel	bing with bulk modulus typically greater than 20,000 psi
3.	Can this leak detection syste	n be used to test double-wall pipeline systems?
((X) yes () 1	o (inner pipe only)

4.	What is the nominal diameter of a pipeline that can be tested with this system?
	(X) 1 in. or less (X) between 1 and 3 in. (X) 4 in () between 3 and 6 in. () between 6 and 10 in. () other
5.	The system can be used on pipelines pressurized to psi.
	The safe maximum operating pressure for this system is 50 psi.
6.	Does the system conduct a test while a mechanical line leak detector is in place in the pipeline?
	(X) yes () no (system is a mechanical line leak detector)
Ge	neral Features of the System
7.	What type of test is the system conducting? (Check all applicable responses.)
	() 0.1 gal/h Line Tightness Test () 0.2 gal/h Monthly Monitoring Test (X) 3 gal/h Hourly Test
8.	Is the system permanently installed on the pipeline?
	(X) yes () no
	Does the system test the line automatically?
	(X) yes () no
	If a leak is declared, what does the system do? (Check all applicable responses.)
	() displays or prints a message (X) restricts the dispensing system () triggers an alarm () alerts the operator () shuts down the dispensing system
9.	What quantity or quantities are measured by the system? (Please list.) pressure (psi)
10.	Does the system use a preset threshold that is automatically activated or that automatically turns on an alarm?
	(X) yes (If yes, skip question 11.) (automatically restricts flow)() no (If no, answer question 11.)
11.	Does the system measure and report the quantity? () yes (X) no

	If so, is the output quantity converted to flow rate in gallons per hour?		
	() yes	() no	
12.	What is the specified li	ne pressur	e during a test?
	() operating pressure o () 150% of operating p (X) a specific test press	pressure	<u>3 to 12</u> psi
Te	st Protocol		
13.	What is the minimum value underground storage takest?	waiting per nk and the	riod required between a delivery of product to an start of the data collection for a pipeline leak detection
	(X) no waiting period () less than 15 min () 15 min to 1 h () 1 to 5 h () 6 to 12 h () 12 to 24 h () greater than 24 h () variable (Briefly expense)	plain.)	
14. What is the minimum waiting period required between the last d through the pipeline and the start of the data collection for a pip			
	(X) no waiting period () less than 15 min () 15 min to 1 h () 1 to 4 h () 4 to 8 h () greater than 8 h () variable (Briefly expense)	plain.)	
15.	detection test? (Include sequence is used, give	e setup tim the amoun	time necessary to set up equipment and complete a leak ne, waiting time and data collection time. If a multiple-test t of time necessary to complete the first test as well as the complete the entire sequence.)
	N/A min (single tes	st) st)	
16.	Does the system compe pipeline that are due to		those pressure or volume changes of the product in the re changes?
	() yes	(X) no	(up to approximately 2.5 cu. in.)
17.	Is there a special test to	check the	e pipeline for trapped vapor?
	() yes	(X) no	

18.	Can a test be performed with trapped vapor in the pipeline?		
	(X) yes	(X) no	
19.	If trapped vapor is found in the pipeline, is it removed before a test is performed?		
	() yes	(X) no	
20.	Are deviations from this protocol acceptable?		
	() yes	(X) no	
	If yes, briefly specify:		
21.	Are elements of the test procedure determined by on-site personnel?		
	() yes	(X) no	
	If yes, which ones? (Check all applicable responses.)		
 () waiting period between filling the tank and the beginning of data collection for () length of test () determination of the presence of vapor pockets () determination of "outlier" (or anomalous) data that may be discarded () other (Describe briefly.) 			
Da	ta Acquisition		
22.	How are the test data	acquired and recorded?	
	() manually() by strip chart() by computer() by microprocessor	(X) not applicable	
23.	Certain calculations are necessary to reduce and analyze the data. How are these calculations done?		
	() interactive compute () automatically done	s by the operator on site or program used by the operator with a computer program e with a microprocessor	
Det	tection Criterion		
24.	What threshold is used	to determine whether the pipeline is leaking?	
	gal/hr 2 gal/hr	(in the units used by the measurement system) (in gal/h)	

25.	Is a multiple-test sequence used to determine whether the pipeline is leaking?			
	() yes (If yes, answer the three questions below) (X) no (If no, skip the three questions below)			
	How many tests are conducted?			
	(Enter 0 if the tests are conducted one after the other.)			
Cal	ibration			
26.	How frequently are the sensor systems calibrated?			
	() never () before each test () weekly () monthly () semi-annually (X) yearly or less frequently (minimum annual maintenance check)			

Summary of Performance Estimates

Pipeline Leak Detection System Used as an Hourly Monitoring Test

Complete this page if the pipeline leak detection system has been evaluated as an hourly test. Please complete the first table. Completion of the last three tables is optional. (The last three tables present the performance of the system for different combinations of thresholds, probabilities of false alarm, and probabilities of detection. They are useful for comparing the performance of this system to that of other systems.)

Performance of the Pipeline Leak Detection System as Evaluated

Description	Leak Rate (gal/h)	P_{D}	P_{FA}	Threshold (gal/h)
Evaluated System	3	1.0	0	3
EPA Standard	3	0.95	0.05	N/A

Probability of False Alarm as a Function of Threshold

Threshold (gal/h)	Probability of False Alarm
Not determined	0.10
	0.075
	0.05
	0.05

Probability of Detection as a Function of Threshold for a Leak Rate of 3.0 gal/h

Threshold (gal/h)	Probability of Detection
Not determined	0.95
	0.90
	0.80
	0.50

Smallest Leak Rate that Can be Detected with the Specified Probability of Detection and Probability of False Alarm

Leak Rate (gal/h)	Probability of Detection	Probability of False Alarm
Not determined	0.95	0.10
	0.95	0.075
	0.95	0.05
	0.90	0.05
	0.80	0.05
	0.50	0.05

Summary of the Configuration of the Pipeline System(s) Used in the Evaluation

Pipeline Leak Detection System Options 1, 2, and 5

Specialized Test Facility, Operational Storage Tank System, or Computer Simulation		
Inside diameter of pipeline (in.)	3 in	
Length of pipeline (tank to dispenser) (ft)	176 ft	
Volume of product in line during testing (gal)	64.6 gal	
Type of material (fiberglass, steel, other ¹)	fiberglass	
Type of product in tank and pipeline (gasoline, diesel, other2)	gasoline	
Was a mechanical line leak detector present? (yes or no)	yes	
Was trapped vapor present? (yes or no)	in 3 of 53 tests	
Bulk Modulus (B) (psi)	34,250	
B/V _o (psi/ml)	-0.14	
Storage tank capacity (gal)	560 gal	

¹Specify type of construction material. ²Specify type of product for each tank.

Data Sheet Summarizing the Test Results Used to Check the Relationship Supplied by the Manufacturer for Combining the Signal and Noise

Pipeline Leak Detection System Options 1 and 5

NOT APPLICABLE TO THIS EVALUATION

First Check		
Test No.	Actual Leak Rate* (gal/h)	Measured Leak Rate (gal/h)
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		

Recommended leak rates for monthly monitoring tests and line tightness tests: 0.0, 0.05, 0.10, 0.20, 0.30 and 0.40 gal/h. Recommended leak rates for hourly tests: 0.0, 2.0, 2.5, 3.0, 3.5, and 4.0 gal/h.

	Second Check		
Test No.	Actual Leak Rate* (gal/h)	Measured Leak Rate (gal/h)	
A			
В			
С			
A + B'			

A + B is the summation of the results of Tests A and B using the manufacturer's relationship for combining the signal and the noise.